Approved For Release 2001/09/07 : CIA-RDP78-03097A0009000 01580 / 78JJ



CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

SUBMARY OF VOELD MADEO AND PRESS REACTION TO VICE PRESIDENT

MIXON'S VISIT TO THE USER AND MILAND

Vest European comment in general expressed hope that the Vice President's tour would result in a measure of relaxation in East-West tensions, although some commentators were shaptical about the value of the trip in improving Joviet-American relations or in breaking the East-West deadlook on major issues. The subsequent manouncement of the exchange of visits between President Eisenhouer and Premier Ehrushahev was highlighted in European comment to the virtual exclusion of final overall assessments of the contribution made by Mr. Eixon's trip to a world political detente. Revertheless, commentators pointed out that the Vice President's favorable impact on the Soviet people would eventually benefit the free world, and that the significance of the enthusiastic Polish reception for Mr. Hixon could not have been lost on the Leaders of the comments bloc. There were frequent references in European comment to Mr. Hixon's presidential aspirations, which were said to have been considerably enhanced by the Vice President's conduct in his public appearances with Ehrusbehev and before the Bussian people.

In <u>latin America</u> and the <u>Middle East</u> there was little monitored comment, but fairly thorough news coverage of the tear conveyed an impression of general approval.

Moncommunist Asian comments though limited, was almost wholly favorable, with Indian and Japanese commentators giving full approval to the Vice President's efforts. The <u>Taipel</u> radio and press saw little accomplished

The state of the s

Approved For Release 2001/09/07: CIA-RDP78-03097A000900010155-3

other than the furthering of Mr. Mixon's political fortunes and repeated the standard Chinese position that no good can come from dealings with the USSR.

<u>Natio Mossow's</u> coverage of Mr. Hixon's tours, visits, and receptions in the UNSA was fairly extensive, but full publicity was not given his public statements. His replies to workers' "awkward" questions on U.S. policy were scarcely reported; his side of the "kitchen debate" with Ehrushchev was reported very summarily; his speech at the exhibition opening was not broadcast in Bussian; and his TV address was relayed to the Soviet radio sudience only by a minor channel of Moscow's home service.

Much of the Soviet propaganda surrounding the visit was designed to rebut Mr. Himon's claims of U.S. prosperity and his defense of U.S. policies. The coincidence of Captive Mations Work was clearly a major irritant. Mr. Rimon's arguments on the med for U.S. bases abroad draw especially vigorous objections. In a few instances, the propaganda descended to personal attack.

Priping broadcast scent reportage, derived from TASS disputches, with mo original comment.

Warrany but not Muscow provided extensive publicity for Mr. Mison's visit to Foland. The Folish home service broadcast his public statements in full.